

$\psi(2S)$ enhancement in p–Pb collision as an indication of QGP formation at the LHC

S. Ganesh *, Captain R. Singh, and M. Mishra

Department of Physics, Birla Institute of Technology and Science, Pilani - 333031, INDIA

Proton-nucleus collisions serve as an important baseline for the understanding and interpretation of the nucleus-nucleus collisions. These collisions have been employed to characterize the cold nuclear matter effects at SPS and RHIC energies for the past several years, as it was thought that Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP) is not formed in such collisions. However, at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), it is not yet clear whether QGP is formed during proton-lead (p–Pb) collisions. In this work, we have derived an expression for gluon induced excitation of J/ψ to $\psi(2S)$, using pNRQCD, and show that the relative enhancement of $\psi(2S)$ vis a vis J/ψ , especially at high p_T , gives an indication that the QGP is indeed formed in p–Pb collisions at the LHC.

Keywords : QGP, $\psi(2S)$ enhancement, pNRQCD.

PACS numbers : 12.38.Mh, 12.39.Pn, 11.25.Wx, 11.15.-q

I. INTRODUCTION

Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP) is a deconfined state of quarks and gluons, and is currently a subject of much theoretical and experimental research. QGP is produced in heavy-ion collisions, e.g. Au–Au collisions at Relativistic Heavy-ion Collider (RHIC) or Pb–Pb collisions at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) experiments. Some of the signatures of QGP include heavy quarkonium (J/ψ or Υ) suppression, collective flow and photon/dilepton production, etc. Usually, heavy

quarkonium is suppressed to a much larger extent, if QGP is formed [1–7], in the heavy-ion collision experiments. However, at the LHC, J/ψ yield may actually increase due to recombination [8–11], which would obviously reduce the effective suppression. For proton-Lead (p–Pb) collisions, the heavy quarkonium yield may be explained using Cold Nuclear Matter (CNM) effects itself [12]. However, these do not necessarily prove beyond reasonable doubt that QGP is not formed at p–Pb collisions. If one were to look at peripheral collisions in heavy ion collisions [8], it can be seen that most of the suppression mechanisms yield much smaller additional suppression though QGP is present. The central p–Pb collisions have comparable energy, number of nu-

*Corresponding author:

clear participants (N_{part}), and number of binary collisions (N_{coll}) as extreme peripheral heavy-ion collisions. The question then arises as to what would be a defining signature for p–Pb collisions which indicates whether or not the QGP is formed in such a collision. In this work, we attempt to explore the yield enhancement of the charmonium state $\psi(2S)$ w.r.t. J/ψ , at high p_T , as a possible indication of the presence of QGP. One possible explanation for yield enhancement is secondary recombination of c and $\bar{c}$ pair. However, secondary recombination is unlikely to be a reason for $\psi(2S)$ enhancement at high p_T in the case of p–Pb collision. This is because, both theoretical and experimental data indicate that recombination decreases at high p_T [8, 13]. Secondly, secondary recombination depends quadratically on the number of c and $\bar{c}$ pairs [8, 14, 15], which would be very less for p–Pb collision. We argue that a possible reason for $\psi(2S)$ enhancement could be due to the gluon induced excitation of $J/\psi(1S)$ to $\psi(2S)$. We further argue that, in a medium of equilibrated gluon distribution, this gluon induced excitation increases with p_T of J/ψ . This can be understood in the following way. When the gluon medium achieves equilibrium, it would follow the Bose-Einstein distribution, which results in the concentration of gluons in the low energy regime. The gluon density would then decrease exponentially with gluon energy, E_g . The mass difference between $\psi(2S)$ and $J/\psi(1S)$ is about 0.6 GeV, which needs to be provided by the gluon. But in a Bose-Einstein distribution, $\frac{1}{\exp(E_g/T)-1}$, at a temperature of around 190 MeV (dissociation temperature of $\psi(2S)$), most of

the gluons would have much smaller energy than 0.6 GeV. However, for J/ψ with large p_T , there would be a blue-shift in the gluon energy in the J/ψ frame of reference, in the forward direction, and a red-shift in the backward direction. We represent this Doppler shift as $D(v_{rel}, \theta) = \gamma \frac{E_g}{T} (1 - v_{rel} \cos(\theta))$, where v_{rel} is the relative velocity between the medium and J/ψ , and θ is the scattering angle between v_{rel} and incoming gluon momentum. The subsequent Bose-Einstein distribution, then becomes $f_g(E_g, v_{rel}, \theta) = \frac{1}{\exp(D(v_{rel}, \theta))}$. The modified Bose-Einstein, $f_g(E_g, v_{rel}, \theta)$, leads to an exponential increase in the availability of gluons with energy around 0.6 GeV, leading to a significant number of J/ψ getting excited to the $\psi(2S)$ state. The above effect is described in Sec. III (see Figure 2). Thus, one would qualitatively expect that gluon induced excitation from $J/\psi(1S)$ to $\psi(2S)$ would increase with p_T . We explore this analytically in this work, and compare the results with preliminary ATLAS data [16] at 5.02 TeV. The suppression effects are also included for the purpose of comparing with experimental data.

The organization of the rest of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II, the $1S \rightarrow 2S$ transition cross-section, σ , and the excitation rate, $\Gamma_{1S \rightarrow 2S}$, are calculated. This is followed by Sec. III, where the results are shown and compared with the experimental data. Finally, in Sec. IV, we draw our final conclusions.

II. pNRQCD FORMULATION

In this section, the cross-section σ and the excitation rate $\Gamma_{1S \rightarrow 2S}$ are calculated using the potential Non-Relativistic QCD (pNRQCD) formulation. The relevant part of the pNRQCD Lagrangian used for the calculation is given by $\frac{gE^a}{\sqrt{2N_c}} (S^\dagger O^a + O^a S^\dagger)$. The variables, S , O^a , E^a and g , refer to the singlet, octet, gluon's chromoelectric field and coupling constant respectively. Figure 1 depicts the corresponding Feynman's diagram for the process.




FIG. 1: Gluon induced excitation of 1S state to 2S state.

The notation and variables used are described as follows. The center-of-mass coordinates are:

- $R_i = (x_{qi} + x_{\bar{q}i})/2$;
- $R_f = (x_{qf} + x_{\bar{q}f})/2$

The relative motion coordinates are:

- $r_i = (x_{qi} - x_{\bar{q}i})$;

- $r_f = (x_{qf} - x_{\bar{q}f})$.

We denote the singlet 1S and 2S wavefunctions as S_{1S} and S_{2S} , respectively. The octet wavefunction $= O_q^a = Q_q T^a$, with Q_q being the energy of the octet state and T^a being the generators of $SU(N)$ in the adjoint representation. P_1 , P_2 , Q , k_1 and k_2 refer to the 3-momentum of the incoming J/ψ , outgoing $\psi(2S)$, octet, incoming and outgoing gluons respectively. The variables, m_{1S} , m_{2S} and m_o , are the masses of the J/ψ , $\psi(2S)$ and octet states respectively, while, p_1 , p_2 and q refer to the relative momentum between the $c\bar{c}$ pair comprising these particles. k_{0x} is the energy corresponding to k_x , with $x = 1, 2$.

Let C be the overall color factor and coupling constant, and the propagator be $G(r_i, r_f, R_i, R_f)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(r_i, r_f, R_i, R_f) = & C \int d^3X \int d^3Y \int \frac{d^3Q}{(2\pi)^3} \int dy^0 \int dx^0 \\
 & \left[e^{-i\left(P_2(R_f - Y) + \frac{P_2^2}{2m_{2s}}(t_f - y_0) + m_{2s}(t_f - y_0)\right)} \right. \\
 & \left(\int d^3x \int d^3y \sum_q xy |S_{1s}(r_i) > \right. \\
 & < S_{1s}(x) || O_q^a(x) > < O_q^a(y) || S_{2s}(y) > < S_{2s}(r_f) | \Big) \\
 & e^{-i\left(P_1(X - R_i) + \frac{P_1^2}{2m_{1s}}(x_0 - t_i) + m_{1s}(x_0 - t_i)\right)} \\
 & e^{-i\left(Q(Y - X) + \frac{Q^2}{2m_o}(y_0 - x_0) - \frac{q^2}{m_q}(y_0 - x_0) + m_o(y_0 - x_0)\right)} \\
 & \left. k^i k^j e^{-i(-k_{o2}y_o + k_{oi}x_o - k_{1y} + k_{2x})} \right] . (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

The coupling constant, $C = (4\pi\alpha) \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 1}{2N_c} \right)$. The amplitude M^{ij} would then be given by

$$M^{ij} = \int d^3r_i \int d^3r_f < S_{1s}(r_i) | G(r_i, r_f, R_i, R_f) | S_{2s}(r_f) > . (2)$$

Replacing the summation $\sum_q$, by an integral $\int dq$, since the octet energies are continuous, and finally performing all the integrals:

$$M^{ij} = C(2\pi)^5 k_1^i k_2^j \langle S_{1s} | r | O_q^a \rangle \langle O_q^a | r | S_{2s} \rangle \sqrt{\frac{m_q}{\frac{P_1^2}{2m_{1s}} + \frac{(P_1+k_1)^2}{2m_o} + k_{01} + m_{1s} - m_o}} \delta^3(P_1 + k_1 - P_2 - k_2) e^{-i\phi} \delta\left(\frac{P_1^2}{2m_{1s}} + k_{01} - \frac{P_2^2}{2m_{2s}} - k_{02} - \Delta m\right), \quad (3)$$

where ϕ , an arbitrary phase factor $= P_2 R_f - P_1 R_i + (\frac{P_2^2}{2m_{2s}} + m_{2s})t_f - (\frac{P_1^2}{2m_{1s}} + m_{1s})t_i$, and $\Delta m = m_{2s} - m_{1s}$. In the J/ψ rest frame, $P_1 = 0$, and thus

$$M^{ij} = (2\pi)^5 k_1^i k_2^j M_c \delta^3(k_1 - P_2 - k_2) e^{-i\phi} \delta\left(k_{01} - \frac{P_2^2}{2m_{2s}} - k_{02} - \Delta m\right), \quad (4)$$

with, $M_c = \langle S_{1s} | r | O_q^a \rangle \langle O_q^a | r | S_{2s} \rangle \left(\sqrt{\frac{m_q}{k_{01} + m_{1s} - m_o - \frac{k_1^2}{2m_o}}} \right)$.

The $1S \rightarrow 2S$ transition cross-section, σ , is given

by

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{2E_{1s} 2k_1 (1 - v_{1s})} \int \frac{d^3 P_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{2s}} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3 2k_{02}} C^2 \left[((2\pi)^5 M_c k_1^i k_2^j) ((2\pi)^5 M_c k_{1i} k_{2j}) \right] \delta^3(P_2 + k_2 - k_1) \delta\left(k_{01} - \frac{P_2^2}{2m_{2s}} - k_{02} - \Delta m\right) \quad (5)$$

In the J/ψ rest frame, $v_{1s} = 0$. We decompose k_2 and P_2 into parallel and perpendicular components to k_1 , and approximating $E_{2s} \approx m_{2s}$, we get

$$\sigma = \frac{C^2 (2\pi)^5}{16E_{1s} m_{2s}} k_1 M^2 \int d^3 k_2 k_{2\perp} \delta\left(k_1 - \frac{(k_1 - k_{2\parallel})^2 + k_{2\perp}^2}{2m_{2s}} - k_2 - \Delta m\right) \quad (6)$$

Substituting $k_{2\perp} = k_2 \sin(\alpha)$ and $k_{2\parallel} = k_2 \cos(\alpha)$, with α being the angle between k_1 and k_2 , and eval-

uating the $\int dk_2$ integral, we finally obtain

$$\sigma = \frac{C^2 (2\pi)^6}{16E_{1s} m_{2s}} k_1 M^2 \int_0^\pi \left[\sin^2 \alpha \sqrt{\frac{2s}{2\Delta}} k_2^4 \right] d\alpha, \quad (7)$$

with $\Delta = \frac{(k_1 \cos(\alpha) - m_{2s})^2}{2m_{2s}} - \left(k_1 - \frac{k_1^2}{2m_{2s}} - \Delta m\right)$. One can see that there is a pole when $\Delta \rightarrow 0$. This pole is unphysical, and occurs when k_1 is large. In other words, this implies that the above formulation is invalid for very large values of k_1 .

A. The rate constant $\Gamma_{1S \rightarrow 2S}$

The rate constant, $\Gamma_{1S \rightarrow 2S}$, is obtained by integrating the $1S \rightarrow 2S$ transition cross-section, σ , with the gluon density function, $f_g(E_g, v_{rel}, \theta) = \frac{g_d}{e^{\frac{\gamma E_g}{T}(1 - v_{rel} \cos(\theta))} - 1}$, where g_d is the number of gluon degrees of freedom = 16. In other words,

$$\Gamma_{1S \rightarrow 2S} = \int 2\pi E_g^2 \sin(\theta) f_g(E_g, v_{rel}, \theta) \sigma(E_g) dE_g d\theta \quad (8)$$

$$= \int \frac{2\pi E_g g_d T \sigma(E_g)}{v_{rel} \gamma} \quad (9)$$

$$\ln \left[\frac{e^{\frac{\gamma E_g}{T}(1 + v_{rel})} - 1}{e^{\frac{2\gamma E_g v_{rel}}{T}} \left(e^{\frac{\gamma E_g}{T}(1 - v_{rel})} - 1 \right)} \right] dE_g. \quad (10)$$

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

We begin by discussing the effect of modified Bose-Einstein distribution $f_g(E_g, v_{rel}, \theta)$ on the gluon density available for exciting $J/\psi(1S)$ to $\psi(2S)$.

We depict $BE_{Doppler} = \sin(\theta) \times f_g(E_g, v_{rel}, \theta)$, w.r.t. θ , for various values of v_{rel} in Fig. 2. We have plotted $\sin(\theta) \times f_g(E_g, v_{rel}, \theta)$, instead of $f_g(E_g, v_{rel}, \theta)$, since the $\sin(\theta)$ term appears in the




FIG. 2: Variation of $BE_{Doppler} = \sin(\theta) \times f_g(E_g, v_{rel}, \theta)$, w.r.t. θ , for various values of v_{rel} .

integrand of the expression for the rate constant, $\Gamma_{1S \rightarrow 2S}$ (Eq. 8). In the blue shifted forward region, i.e., $\theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$, there is a substantial increase in the gluon density, as v_{rel} increases. This gives an indication as to why gluo-excitation of J/ψ to $\psi(2S)$ should increase with p_T .

We now discuss the simulation results. The $J/\psi(1S)$ and $\psi(2S)$ wavefunction is obtained by solving the Schrödinger equation with the potential [4, 17]:

$$V(r, m_D) = \frac{\sigma_{string}}{m_D} (1 - e^{-m_D r}) - \alpha_{eff} \left(m_D + \frac{e^{-m_D r}}{r} \right), \quad (11)$$

where m_D is the Debye mass given by $m_D = T \sqrt{4\pi\alpha_s^T \left(\frac{N_c}{3} + \frac{N_f}{6} \right)}$, and r is the relative displacement between the $c\bar{c}$ pair. Here $N_f = 3$, number of flavors; $\alpha_s^T = 0.49$; $\sigma_{string} = 0.192 \text{ GeV}^2$. $\alpha_{eff} = \frac{4\alpha}{3}$, where we have taken $\alpha = 0.18$. This value of alpha, along with $\alpha_s^T = 0.49$, gives the dissociation tem-

peratures close to the values 381 MeV for J/ψ and 190 MeV for $\psi(2S)$ [3]. The octet wave function, h_{ql} , is obtained by solving the Schrödinger's equation with potential, $\alpha_{eff}/(8r)$ [4, 18]. We assume the critical temperature, T_c , to be 170 MeV, and the charm quark mass to be 1.275 GeV. With these values, we determine $\Gamma_{1S \rightarrow 2S}$. The centrality and time dependence of the temperature of the QGP medium is taken as [4]

$$T(t) = T_c \frac{\left(\frac{dN_{ch}}{d\eta} / \frac{N_{part}}{2} \right)_{bin}^{1/3}}{\left(\frac{dN_{ch}}{d\eta} / \frac{N_{part}}{2} \right)_{bin0}^{1/3}} \left(\frac{t_{QGP}}{t} \right)^{1/3}. \quad (12)$$

The values for N_{part} and $\frac{dN_{ch}}{d\eta}$ have been obtained from [19], and we have assumed t_{QGP} for the most central bin to be 2.5 fm. Figure 3 depicts the fractional increase in $\psi(2S)$ yield as a function of p_T . We see that this increases with p_T . Apart from




FIG. 3: The percentage increase in $\psi(2S)$ as a function of p_T , for medium velocity equal to 0.5.

the transition of $J/\psi(1S)$ to $\psi(2S)$, there would be multiple factors contributing to the $\psi(2S)$ yield.

These include CNM effects, suppression effects due to Debye color screening, etc. Absorption due to CNM effects can be expected to be negligible at the LHC [20]. The other CNM effects, namely Cronin effect and shadowing, being initial state effects, would be expected to be the same between J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$. Hence, we take the yield of J/ψ as a measure of CNM. For ease of reference, the J/ψ yield in the p–Pb collision is also plotted in Figs. 4 and 5.

In Fig. 4, we compare the $\psi(2S)$ yield with the experimental data. The $\psi(2S)$ yield includes the $J/\psi(1S)$ yield as a measure of CNM. The regions in the plot, where the experimental yield of $\psi(2S)$ is close to one, is a probable indication of suppression effects. To include suppression, we model the mech-




FIG. 4: Comparison of the $\psi(2S)$ yield after gluo-excitation, with the experimental ATLAS data [16] in $p - Pb$ collision at 5.02 TeV. The dashed blue line indicates prompt $J/\psi(1S)$ yield.

anism of suppression due to Debye color screening.

We follow the formulation outlined in [3, 7]. In Fig. 5, the final $\psi(2S)$ yield after including the suppression due to color screening is depicted. The p_T dependence of color screening depicted in Fig. 5, is after taking into account the effect of the radial medium velocity, v_{med} . Relative to the medium, the effective momentum of $\psi(2S)$, would be Lorentz transformed according to $\gamma(p_T - v_{med}\sqrt{p_T^2 + m_\psi^2})$. This Lorentz transformed relative momentum is used for calculation of suppression due to Debye color screening. The medium velocity is taken as $0.5c$ based on [12]. The $\psi(2S)$ yield incorporating $J/\psi(1S)$ to $\psi(2S)$ transition is depicted using red curve. The net yield of the same after additionally including the suppression due to color screening is shown by the green curve in Fig. 5. It can be seen here that, with suppression included, the experimental data is better captured. The results show that the $\psi(2S)$ suppression is relatively high at low p_T , while gluo-excitation of $J/\psi(1S)$ to $\psi(2S)$ is high at high p_T . A note on the effect of J/ψ suppression is due at this point. We expect the temperature of the QGP, if it is formed, to be not very high. The J/ψ dissociation temperature is about 381 MeV, which would almost always be above the QGP temperature formed in p–Pb collisions. Thus, J/ψ will not undergo any suppression. Simulation data (not shown in this paper), indicate the same.

At this point, it is worthwhile to discuss about what happens to a p_T integrated $\psi(2S)$ yield. Since most of the $\psi(2S)$ production would be expected to be in the low p_T region, the net $\psi(2S)$ yield would be dominated by what occurs in the low p_T region,




FIG. 5: Comparison of the final $\psi(2S)$ yield with the experimental ATLAS data [16] in $p - Pb$ collision at 5.02 TeV. The dashed blue line indicates prompt J/ψ yield.

which is suppression. In peripheral p–Pb collisions, where both suppression and gluon induced enhancement is expected to be low, there would be no enhancement or suppression w.r.t. J/ψ . It is not even clear, whether QGP is formed in peripheral p–Pb collisions. Another question that arises is whether such a phenomenon would be seen in Pb–Pb collision. In a Pb–Pb collision, the temperatures of the medium are much higher, and can go upto 400 or 500 MeV. At temperatures above 190 MeV, $\psi(2S)$ cannot exist. Any $\psi(2S)$ present would only dissociate. The gluon induced enhancement to $\psi(2S)$ cannot happen during this period of the QGP. During the short time, when the temperature of the QGP reduces to below 190 MeV, this phenomenon may happen, but may get overshadowed by the suppression phenomenon that has been happening through-

out the lifetime of the QGP. However, in extreme peripheral collisions, where the temperatures are much lower during the QGP lifetime, there could be a possibility of gluon induced $\psi(2S)$ enhancement at high p_T . The authors are currently unaware of p_T dependent LHC data, for Pb–Pb collisions, in the extreme peripheral region.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have attempted to explain the transverse momentum dependence of J/ψ suppression data observed in $p - Pb$ collision at the LHC energy, over a wide span of transverse momentum. We have found a differential enhancement of $\psi(2S)$ w.r.t. $J/\psi(1S)$ at higher transverse momentum using gluon induced $1S$ to $2S$ transition approach, which qualitatively agrees with the preliminary ATLAS experimental data. We have also included the effect of suppression via the color screening mechanism. The combined result seems to corroborate better with experimental ATLAS data. We expect that the enhancement of $J/\psi(1S)$ to $\psi(2S)$, as an increasing function of p_T , if confirmed experimentally, can be a more definitive evidence for the presence of QGP in p–Pb collision.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M. Mishra is grateful to the Department of Science and Technology (DST), New Delhi for financial assistance. Captain R. Singh is grateful to BITS Pilani, Pilani for the financial assistance.

-
- [1] T. Matsui and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. B **178**, 416 (1986).
- [2] M. C. Chu and T. Matsui, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 1851 (1988).
- [3] M. Mishra, C. P. Singh, V. J. Menon and Ritesh Kumar Dubey, Phys. Lett. B **656**, 45 (2007).
- [4] S. Ganesh and M. Mishra, Phys. Rev. C **88**, 044908 (2013).
- [5] S. Ganesh and M. Mishra, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 034901 (2015).
- [6] Rishi Sharma and Ivan Vitev, Phys. Rev. C **87**, 044905 (2013).
- [7] P. K. Srivastava, M. Mishra and C. P. Singh, Phys. Rev. C **87**, 034903 (2013).
- [8] S. Ganesh and M. Mishra, Nucl. Phys. A. **947**, 38 (2016).
- [9] A. Andronic, P Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich and J. Stachel, J. of Phys. G, **38**, 124081, (2011).
- [10] Yunpeng Liu, Zhen Qu, Nu Xu and Pengfei Zhuang, Phys. Lett. B **678** (2009); arxiv:0907.2723v1 [nucl-th] (2009).
- [11] Xingbo Zhao and Ralf Rapp, Nucl. Phys. A **859** (2011).
- [12] Yunpeng Liu, Che Ming Ko and Taesoo Song, Phys. Lett. B, **728**, 437-442, (2014).
- [13] ALICE Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B **734**, 314-327 (2014).
- [14] Thews, AIP Conf. Proc. **631** (2002) 490-524; arXiv:hep-ph/02061179v1 (2002)
- [15] Captain R. Singh, P. K. Srivastava, S. Ganesh, M. Mishra, Phys. Rev. C **92**, 034916 (2015).
- [16] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS-CONF-2015-023 (2015).
- [17] M. Laine, O. Philipsen, M. Tassler, P. Romatschke, J. High Energy Phys. **03**, 054 (2007).
- [18] C. Y. Wong, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 114025 (1999).
- [19] ALICE Collaboration, Phys. Rev. C, **91**, 064905 (2015).
- [20] R. Vogt, Phys. Rev. C **81**, 044903 (2010); arXiv:1003.3497v1 [hep-ph] (2010).